UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,643	07/20/2001	Andrew S. Kanter	0010-3	1842
25901 7590 04/05/2007 ERNEST D. BUFF ERNEST D. BUFF AND ASSOCIATES, LLC. 231 SOMERVILLE ROAD BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			EXAMINER	
			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
				•
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
30 DA	vs	04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/909,643	KANTER, ANDREW 'S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622	

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental

amendment.

Telephone No. Part of Paper No. 20070323 Continuation of 4(e) Other: Applicant indicates that claim 1 has been amended, yet there are no mark-ups showing what is to be changed. Applicant should use underlining and/or bracketing as specified in § 1.121. Applicant should also review other claims intended to be amended to ensure they have the proper mark-ups as well. Applicant argues at least once that claim 8 has been amended with the "delivery" language of claim 1, yet there is no delivery found in claim 8. Because most if not all of applicant's arguments appear to rely on the "delivery" of the compensation, if applicant intends claim 8 not to require delivery, arguments must be present why claim 8 is allowable on its own merits.